## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

Keisha Sutton
Plaintiff,

V.

Chimko & Associates, P.C.
Defendant.

Line Sutton

Li

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).
- 2. This action arises out of Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA") in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District because the acts and transactions occurred here, Plaintiff resides here, and Defendants transact business here.

#### **PARTIES**

- 4 Plaintiff Keisha Sutton is a natural person who resides in Flint, County of Genesee, State of Michigan, and is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 5. Defendant Chimko & Associates, P.C (hereinafter "Defendant Chimko") is a Michigan Professional Corporation and is, upon information and belief, a collection agency whose registered agent is Darryl J. Chimko and whose registered agents mailing address is 1613 Scenic Hollow Rochester Hills, MI 48306 and is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 6. Sometime prior to July 2003, Plaintiff incurred a financial obligation, purchase of a vehicle from RPM Auto Sales, Inc., that was primarily for personal, family or household purposes.
- 7. On July 22, 2003, RPM Auto Sales, Inc. filed a lawsuit 03-4553-GC in the 68<sup>th</sup> District Court against Plaintiff for non-payment of monies based on the sale of that vehicle. RPM was represented by Michelle Ridley.
  - 8. RPM obtained a default judgment against Plaintiff on November 17, 2003.
- 9. On information and belief, at no time, according to Court Records, did RPM Auto Sales, Inc. or Defendant file a Subpoena for a Debtors Examination pursuant to MCL 600.6110 with the 68<sup>th</sup> District Court.
- 10. Based upon the Court record, Defendant never entered an appearance in 03-4553-GC.
- 11. On or about July 12, 2010, Defendant and/or its agent called Plaintiffs' mother Mattie E. Sutton-Carr ("Mattie")
  - 12. Defendant made several representations to Mattie, including:
    - a. He was trying to get into touch with Keisha;
    - b. Keisha was supposed to be in court;
    - c. Asking Mattie to have Keisha call him at 248-284-1677;
    - d. Defendant didn't want to send a sheriff out to Keishas' house.
- 13. Defendant's agent, Dennis Paul, then began discussing Plaintiff's debt directly with Akieem telling him that Defendant had a warrant for Plaintiffs' arrest and that he was going to have the Sheriff come out to the house if Plaintiff did not pay the debt.
- 14. Plaintiff called Defendant and spoke to Dennis Paul. Plaintiff inquired about why the Sheriff would arrest her.

- 15. Dennis Paul informed Plaintiff that she had failed to show up to Court, and that if she did not pay \$50 by July 20, 2010 that she would be arrested.
- 16. Plaintiff was embarrassed by the communication of the debt to her mother, Mattie, and her boyfriend, Akieem.
- 17. Defendants representations caused Plaintiff to worry about being arrested, fear driving because she might be pulled over and arrested, and caused her to suffer mental anguish and lose sleep.
- 18. Defendant's actions were done in attempt to collect a debt and violated provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692b(2), 1692c(b), 1692e(4), 1692e(5), 1692g(a) and 1692e(10).

#### **CAUSES OF ACTION**

# VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.

- 19. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 20. The foregoing acts and omissions of each and every Defendant constitute violations of the FDCPA including, but not limited to the following. provisions of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.
- 21. By stating to both Mattie and Akieem that Defendant would have a sheriff take her property, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692b(2).
- 22. By providing a call back telephone number to Mattie to give to Plaintiff, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692b(2).
- 23. By communicating with Mattie and Akieem in connection with collection of a debt without the prior consent of Plaintiff, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b).

- 24. By communicating false, deceptive, and misleading information that Plaintiff would be arrested by the Sheriff, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(4).
- 25. By communicating false, deceptive, and misleading information that Plaintiffs' property would be seized by the Sheriff when Defendant had not filed a Notice of Appearance with the 68<sup>th</sup> District Court and did not have a valid Order to Seize Property in its possession, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(4).
- 26. By communicating false, deceptive and misleading information when Defendant threatened Mattie, Akieem, and Plaintiff that Plaintiff would be arrested and her property seized, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5).
- 27. On information and belief, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692(g)a when it failed, within five (5) days after initial communication with Plaintiff in connection with collection of a debt, to send a written notice containing information found in 15 U.S.C. § 1692(g)a (1) (5).
- 28. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) when it claimed Plaintiff would be arrested for not paying a debt, when it failed to send the notice required by 15 U.S.C. § 1692(g)a because these were a false representations or deceptive means to collect any debt.
- 29. Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) when it claimed that Plaintiff would be arrested for not paying a debt in order to obtain location information from Mattie because this was a false representation or deceptive means to obtain information concerning a consumer.
- 30. As a result of Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1); statutory damages in an amount up to \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A); and, reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) from each and every Defendant herein.

-4-

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

#### **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays:

- A. for an award of actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1)
- B. for an award of statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. \$1692k(a)(2)(A)
- C. for an award of costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3)
  - D. for such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

#### **TRIAL BY JURY**

Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby respectfully demands a trial by jury. US Const. amend.

7. Fed.R.Civ.P. 38.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 30, 2010

/s/ Andrew L. Campbell

Attorney for Plaintiff 653 S. Saginaw Street, Suite 201

Flint, MI 48502

(810) 232-4344

hundy24@yahoo.com

P64391

Dated September 30, 2010

/s/ Michael O. Nelson

Co-counsel for Plaintiff

1104 Fuller NE

Grand Rapids, MI 49503

(616) 559-2665

mike@mnelsonlaw.com

P23546